

## Problem Set 6

Due: January February 4

**Instructions:**

- Type your solution and email it to "focf17hw@gmail.com", with subject "HW#, ID#, Name".
- You're encouraged to use latex. You can find on the webpage the assignment's tex file to help you.
- You can cooperate. However, you should write the solution by yourself, and list all collaborators for each question. Same goes for any external sources that you may use.
- Do not discuss solutions over the course's forum. You are more than welcome though to ask for clarifications regarding the questions themselves.

1. In this question, let  $\text{binLWE}_{q,B}$  be the same assumption as  $\text{LWE}_{q,B}$  defined in class with the exception that the secret  $s$  is sampled uniformly at random from  $\{0, 1\}^n$  instead of  $\mathbb{Z}_q^n$ . In what follows, let  $\chi = \chi_n$  be the  $B$ -bounded distribution on  $\mathbb{Z}_q$  given by  $\text{binLWE}_{q,B}$  and assume that  $q$  is a prime of size  $\Theta(2^{\sqrt{n}})$  and  $B \leq \sqrt{q}$ .

Consider the following secret-key bit-encryption scheme:

- The secret key is  $sk = (-1, s)$  where  $s \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ .
  - To encrypt  $m \in \{0, 1\}$ , sample  $a \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q^n$  and  $e \leftarrow \chi$ , and output  $ct = (\langle a, s \rangle + 2e + m, a)$ .
  - To decrypt  $ct$ , output  $[\langle sk, ct \rangle]_q \bmod 2$  where for any  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ ,  $[a]_q$  is the unique value in  $[-\frac{q+1}{2}, \frac{q-1}{2}]$  such that  $a = [a]_q \bmod q$ .
- (a) (15 pts) Prove that under  $\text{binLWE}_{q,B}$ , the scheme is CPA secure.<sup>1</sup>
  - (b) (15 pts) Prove that the scheme supports any polynomial number of homomorphic Xor operations.
  - (c) (10 pts) Prove that under  $\text{binLWE}_{q,B}$ , the scheme is *circular secure* — the scheme is CPA secure even when the adversary gets as input encryptions of the bits of  $sk$ .
  - (d) (Bonus: M.Sc. thesis) Construct a bootstrappable FHE scheme. That is, a homomorphic encryption scheme that is circular secure and can evaluate its own decryption circuit.
2. Let  $(E, D)$  be a secret-key bit-encryption scheme that is homomorphic to Xor, and assume that each bit encryption is of size  $n$  (this concerns both fresh encryption and encryptions that have been homomorphically manipulated). For two ciphertexts  $ct$  and  $ct'$ , we will denote by  $ct \hat{\oplus} ct'$  the ciphertext resulting from their **homomorphic** Xor, for two bits  $b$  and  $b'$  we denote by  $b \oplus b'$  their Xor. Consider the following suggestions for a public-key encryption scheme:
    - Sample  $r \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^{2n}$ ,  $sk \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ .  
The public key  $pk$  consists of  $(r, ct_1, \dots, ct_{2n})$ , where  $ct_i \leftarrow E_{sk}(r_i)$ .  
The secret key is  $sk$ .
    - To encrypt  $m \in \{0, 1\}$ , sample  $x \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^{2n}$  and output  $(a, b) = \left( \widehat{\bigoplus}_{i:x_i=1} ct_i, m \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{i:x_i=1} r_i \right) \right)$
    - To decrypt  $(a, b)$ , output  $D_{sk}(a) \oplus b$ .

<sup>1</sup>Hint: recall that 2 has a multiplicative inverse modulo  $q$ .

- (a) (20 pts) Prove that if the original secret-key scheme is CPA secure then so is the constructed public-key scheme.
- (b) (20 pts) Assume that the original secret-key scheme is fully homomorphic, show that so is the new public-key scheme. You can assume that homomorphic evaluation can reoperate on ciphertexts that are themselves the result of homomorphic evaluation (as in all constructions we've seen).
3. We say that a public-key encryption scheme  $(G, E, D)$  is secure against chosen-ciphertext attacks (CCA-secure) if for any n.u. PPT  $A = \{A_n\}$  there is a negligible  $\mu$ , such that it wins the following game with probability at most  $1/2 + \mu(n)$ .

- The challenger samples  $(sk, pk) \leftarrow G(1^n)$ .
- $A$  obtains the public key  $pk$ , and can perform decryption queries; namely, it can submit ciphertexts  $ct$  and obtain  $D_{sk}(ct)$ .
- $A$  submits two messages  $m_0, m_1 \in \{0, 1\}^n$  and obtains a challenge ciphertext  $ct^* = E_{pk}(m_b)$  for a random  $b \leftarrow \{0, 1\}$ .
- $A$  may perform more decryption queries.
- $A$  outputs a guess  $b'$ .
- $A$  wins if  $b = b'$  and for all queries  $ct$  that it made  $ct \neq ct^*$ .

- (a) (20 pts) Show that any CCA-secure scheme is non-malleable in the following sense. For any n.u. PPT  $A = \{A_n\}$  and any collection of non-constant poly-time functions  $f = \{f_n : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n\}$ , there is a negligible  $\mu$ , such that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and any  $m, m' \in \{0, 1\}^n$

$$\left| \Pr \left[ \begin{array}{c} ct \leftarrow A(pk, ct^*) \\ ct \neq ct^* \\ D_{sk}(ct) = f_n(m) \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} (sk, pk) \leftarrow G(1^n) \\ ct^* \leftarrow E_{pk}(m) \end{array} \right] - \Pr \left[ \begin{array}{c} ct \leftarrow A(pk, ct^*) \\ ct \neq ct^* \\ D_{sk}(ct) = f_n(m) \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} (sk, pk) \leftarrow G(1^n) \\ ct^* \leftarrow E_{pk}(m') \end{array} \right] \right| \leq \mu(n) .$$

- (b) (Bonus: 10 pts) Let  $(Gen, Sign, Ver)$  be a one-time signature scheme such that  $G(1^n)$  outputs verification keys of size  $n$ . Let  $(G, IDG, E, D)$  be an identity-based public-key encryption scheme for identities in  $\{0, 1\}^n$ . Consider the following public-key encryption scheme:

- Sample  $(msk, pk) \leftarrow G(1^n)$  The secret key is  $msk$  and the public key is  $pk$ .
- To encrypt  $m \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , sample signing and verification keys  $(k, vk) \leftarrow Gen(1^n)$ , compute  $c = E_{pk}(m, vk)$  (an encryption of  $m$  under id  $vk$ ) and  $\sigma = Sign_k(c)$ . Output  $ct = (c, vk, \sigma)$ .
- To decrypt  $ct = (c, vk, \sigma)$ , if  $Ver_{vk}(c, \sigma) = 0$  output  $\perp$ , else derive  $sk_{vk} \leftarrow IDG(msk, vk)$ , and output  $D_{sk_{vk}}(c)$ .

Prove that the scheme is CCA secure.